

INTRODUCTION

Design for Bainbridge (DforB) provides guidance for applicants to successfully navigate the design review process. The design review process, standards, and guidelines are structured to support good design and a deliberate design process from context and site down to design detailing. Design review is an iterative process intended to help applicants apply relevant standards and guidelines and develop designs for the project that fit Bainbridge Island and the unique context of the site. This iterative process contains three touch points with the Design Review Board (DRB). This worksheet is used to capture design information to be presented to the DRB at each step in the iterative process.

#1 Conceptual Proposal Review Meeting

The conceptual proposal review meeting is an informal meeting between the applicant and the Design Review Board to review site-specific conditions and contextual considerations for the design of development on site. This discussion is intended to inform strategies for site planning and massing that respond sensitively to the neighborhood context.

Applicant Submittal Requirements

See DforB pages 12 & 16

#2 Design Guidance Review Meeting

Design guidance review meetings with the Design Review Board offer guidance to potential applicants during the design process on conceptual alternatives. The purpose of the design guidance review meeting is to review how the proposed alternatives fit the surrounding context with a focus on the development's program, uses, site plan, and massing. The DRB will also consider any requested departures, the rationale for those departures and their consistency with the intent and principles of the guidelines.

Applicant Submittal Requirements

- See DforB page 13
- Initial Design for Bainbridge Worksheet (below)



#3 Final Design Review Meeting

At this meeting, the Board will review the application plans for compliance with Design Standards and Design Guidelines and ensure that the project reflects any revisions recommended by the Board at previous meetings. The Board will document its findings and transmit a written recommendation to the Planning Commission. The Board's recommendation may include conditions to ensure compliance with all standards.

Applicant Submittal Requirements

- See DforB page 15
- Final Design for Bainbridge Worksheet (below)

NOTE: Submittal materials should be transmitted as individual pdfs, not as one large file.



PROJEC	CT: Messenger House Phase II
PROJEC	CT ADDRESS or PARCEL: 41560020070003
DATE: 1	12/28/2020
PROJEC	CT PLANNER: Kelly Tayara
Design	Review Board Meeting Dates: 3-20-20, 10-05-2020;
CONT	EXT ANALYSIS
CONT	EXT ANALTSIS
C1 A	NALYZE NATURAL SYSTEMS
C2 II	DENTIFY THE EXTENT AND VALUE OF WILDLIFE HABITAT AND CORRIDORS
C3 A	SSESS UNIQUE AND PROMINENT FEATURES
C4 C	ONSIDER THE DEFINING ATTRIBUTES OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT
C5 A	NALYZE SYSTEMS OF MOVEMENT AND ACCESS
C6 S	TUDY HOW THE SITE RELATES TO AND CAN CONTRIBUTE TO THE PUBLIC REALM
	Analysis Complete:
Yes: 🖂	No: □

If no, required additional information:



SITE DESIGN STANDARDS

- **S1** PROTECT AND REPAIR NATURAL SYSTEMS
- **S2** PRESERVE AND ENRICH WILDLIFE HABITAT
- **S3** RESPECT AND MAGNIFY UNIQUE ASPECTS OF SITE AND CONTEXT
- **S4** COMPLEMENT AND CONTRIBUTE TO THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT AND LOCAL IDENTITY
- **S5** FIT THE PROJECT INTO THE SYSTEMS OF ACCESS AND MOVEMENT, PRIORITIZING PEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLES
- **S6** SUPPORT AND CONTRIBUTE TO A VIBRANT PUBLIC REALM

Applicant Response:

S1) The proposed new addition is located largely where the prior building was located. The grade level of the addition is set to match the existing 1917 building. Soil disturbance and impacts to the hydrological functions have been minimized by utilizing portions of the original footprint. Portions of existing paving will be removed (service drive, access lane) and replanted as new gardens. Native plantings will be used to restore wooded portions of the site. New impervious surfaces will be limited to outdoor patios and proposed relocated service access lane.

The project site has developed over the last one hundred years. While there are remnant natural areas, much of the site has been altered from its natural condition. The proposed improvements will however provide some opportunities to enhance the existing conditions. Currently most of the onsite water is discharged directly to Puget Sound. Proposed LID strategies will investigate increased ability to infiltrate on site. One strategy is to enlarge and restore rain garden along the lower east frontage. The site has multiple mature trees, particularly in the main east facing open spaces. We are assessing the health of these trees and if any will be placed at risk from proposed new footprint. The footprint is primarily located to overlap with the wing it is replacing. The building form has multiple orientations to take advantage of views, shape outdoor spaces and break the building into multiple forms. The building will be able to take advantage of solar access, providing for solar gain for residential units and photovoltaic rooftop arrays. The outdoor spaces are located to get solar access depending on time of day (morning, mid-day, afternoon).



S2) The site has several large, wooded areas which can be restored with native planting to improve habitat capacity and enhanced infiltration. No new fencing is proposed at project perimeter and none currently exists.

The existing natural areas will be maintained and enhanced with native vegetation.

S3) The site has the look and feel of a large park due to mature trees and expansive lawn and woodland areas. This is one of the defining characteristics of the site and one of its key assets for both neighbors and residents. The site, located on an east facing slope, also enjoys unobstructed views of Puget Sound. The site has a well know history as the Moran School, and still retains the original 1917 School Building, which overlooks the main lawn area. The building will be restored as part of the new project. The open space will be improved as a shared use space for both residents and the neighborhood.

The site has several unique attributes, perhaps most the most significant being its long history. The original school building is existing and will continue to feature prominently on the site. The proposed work will include restoring portions of the exterior, particularly the east facing façade where the existing addition will be removed. Another feature is the dramatic parklike with its views to Puget Sound. The site design will preserve the parklike setting and enhance its accessibility for residents and neighbors.

S4) The new project will continue to sustain the sense of place which has characterized the site for over 100 years. The Old theater, which was recently demolished due to years of neglect, helped define the large open space with its three-story façade. The proposed new addition seeks to recall the scale of the theater and continue its role in shaping the open space, as viewed by the public. The intent is to break the new wing into multiple masses, similar in scale to the original theater. The overall composition will be comprised of multiple building segments appearing as something which has grown over time. Each segment is further broken-down using changes in materials, glazing patterns, and offsets. Perhaps most importantly, the new addition is not meant to compete with original architecture and its role should be a quiet backdrop to the grand open spaces.

The proposed design replaces the existing assisted living wing. The addition will express itself as distinct from the historic 1917 building and will not attempt to copy it. To achieve this delicate fit, the new wing is separated by a glass pavilion, where the visitors will arrive. The residential portions of the new wing, are a new interpretation of the older Mediterranean architecture, expressed with simple solid massing, vertically composed windows, and smooth cement surfaces, to emulate the stucco facades. The building is carefully broken into discrete wings, each with a specific orientation with existing or historic forms. The building materials also express the internal uses



(residential vs common). The main common area is positioned to take advantage of views to Seattle skyline and aligns with the orientation of the Old Theater Building. Lastly the overall building shape helps shape a variety of outdoors spaces while breaking up the overall building massing.

- S5) The primary access to the facility will be from the west using NE ocean Drive. New entry signage will be added to make the point of access more apparent (there is no signage at present). The new entry to the Assisted Living wing will be co-located with the existing Memory Care entry and porte-cochere. This will become the main entry for vehicular drop and for visitor/resident parking. Additional outdoor spaces will be placed to overlook the large east facing open space. A smaller more contemplative garden will be on the west side where the service ramp is being removed. A network of accessible pathways will be created around the new wing to encourage walking and interactions. A shared gathering space for residents and neighbors is proposed at the main open space frontage along Manitou Park Blvd. No new parking is proposed.
- S6) While the west facing entry is the formal public entry, the true public face is to the east as viewed from the Manitou Park neighborhood. This open space has been a neighborhood asset for decades. A pergola set near the street edge will serve as a meeting place as well as an informal boundary between the public street and semi-private gardens to ensure safety. Where the west entry side is defined by its expansive parking (all existing), the east side is free of vehicles. This open space will be programed to support a variety of activities, ranging from passive (sitting and strolling) to active (yoga, art, etc.). There will be several entries facing the park which would be accessible to residents, adding life to the park areas.

Although the site is not located in town, it still projects a civic quality, primarily due to the large parklike setting residents have enjoyed for decades. As described above, the intent is to maintain and enhance the park and continue the legacy of the site as a public institution. The landscape design along Manitou Park Blvd. is the most prominent public interface for the neighborhood and will be improved to promote opportunities for interaction between neighbors and residents alike.



DRB Discussion: S1)

S2)

S3)



S4)

S5)

S6)



DRB Findings:



PUBLIC REALM STANDARDS

- P1 CREATE A SAFE AND COMFORTABLE ENVIRONMENT FOR WALKING AND CYCYLING
- P2 MINIMIZE IMPACT OF VEHICLES ON THE PUBLIC REALM
- **P3** DESIGN TO SUPPORT A LEDGIBLE HEIRARCHY OF PUBLIC SPACES
- **P4** STRENGHTEN PUBLIC SPACE CONNECTIONS
- **P5** DRAW FROM AND ENHANCE EXISTING BLOCK PATTERNS
- **P6** FOSTER INTEREST AND ACTIVITY ALONG COMMERCIAL STREETS

Applicant Response:

- P1) The pedestrian network is established by a series of connected of accessible walking paths. The paths will link from the public street edge (Manitou Park) through the open spaces, linking outdoor spaces at the base of the new addition. The sitting area, set along the street edge, has the potential to serve as an informal public meeting place, where neighbors can meet their new neighbors.
- P2) There will be no new parking added to the project. The service access is currently located in a prominent position, highly visible from the current and proposed entry. The service access and related service area will be relocated to minimize its visibility.
- P3) The new project will evoke the prior assemblage of buildings which have occupied the hillside over 100 years. The Old theater, which was recently demolished due to years of neglect, helped define the large open space with its three-story façade. The proposed new addition seeks to recall the scale and presence of the theater and continue its role in shaping the open space, as viewed by the public.
- P4) While the west facing entry is the formal public entry, the true public face is to the east as viewed from the Manitou Park neighborhood. This open space has been a neighborhood asset for decades. A pergola set near the street edge will serve as a meeting place as well as an informal boundary between the public street and semi-private gardens to ensure safety.



- P5) The new project will evoke the prior assemblage of buildings which have occupied the hillside over 100 years. The Old theater, which was recently demolished due to years of neglect, helped define the large open space with its three-story façade. The proposed new addition seeks to recall the scale and presence of the theater and continue its role in shaping the open space, as viewed by the public. The intent is to break the new wing into multiple masses, similar in scale to the original theater.
- P6) The guideline is non-applicable since the project does not contain commercial uses and is not along a commercial street. However, some of the common areas will be located on the lower floors to help activate outdoor spaces. The upper floor common areas will project out to the east and overlook the park spaces and Puget Sound.



DRB Discussion:

P1)

P2)

P3)



P4)

P5)

P6)



DRB Findings:



BUILDING DESIGN STANDARDS

- **B1** EXPRESS A CLEAR ORGANIZING ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPT
- **B2** USE AN ARCHITECTURAL LANGUAGE APPROPRIATE TO BAINBRIDGE ISLAND
- **B3** CREATE WELL COMPOSED FACADES AT ALL SCALES
- **B4** CELEBRATE AND PROMINENTLY FEATURE SUSTAINABLE DESIGN
- **B5** USE HIGH QUALITY MATERIALS AND WELL CRAFTED DETAILS

Applicant Response:

- B1) The site plan has evolved over the decades and transformed itself from a Boys school, to an officer's school to a health care facility. The current site plan is an amalgamation of these uses as they have evolved over time. Presently, the site has two wings which radiate from the last vestige of the original use, the 1917 building. While the proposed addition largely follows the footprint of the current wing, its form is shaped to take advantage of the existing contours and shape outdoor spaces. The architectural concept uses a simple palette of contemporary northwest materials (wood, metal, cement) while emulating the scale and proportions of adjacent buildings on site (three story with parapet). The internal common area uses are positioned in relationship to the site planning/outdoor spaces (site entry, north and south terraces) and are also expressed architecturally with extensive glazing.
- B2) While the new building will be reflective of its time, it will take cues from the original 1917 building, and its Mediterranean style. The shared elements include a formal fenestration where window groupings are aligned, and the windows share vertical proportions. The materials will emulate the plaster aesthetic by using coursed cement board. The proposed roof line is flat to match the original buildings. The common areas will be modern in character acting as transparent glazed screens in contrast to more solid portions housing the residences. The entry porte-cochere will be remodeled to be complementary to the newer architecture. See multiple other guidelines for supporting information.



- B3) The facades of the addition are composed to form a balanced composition with the original 1917 building. The façade is broken into discrete segments, forming a pattern of solid (plaster)-void (glass)-solid (plaster). The glazing is expressed in relationship to the internal program, with expansive glass used at common areas, and more discrete openings at individual living units. The formal fenestration will emulate the older building, while the more modern sections open to the view and light. The building materials are related to the building massing to present a coherent graphic when viewed from afar. Detailing will include window fenestration, metal balconies, and expressed structural members at outdoor porches. Mechanical and trash facilities will be screened from public view.
- B4) The existing 1917 building and 1996 memory care portions will be re-used/remodeled. The buildings will seek green building certification (BUILT Green or similar) and will be constructed with a high-performance envelope, that will maximize natural lighting, and natural ventilation. Management of direct sunlight (particularly west facing façade) will be mitigated by use of deciduous trees. Specific sustainability strategies have not been established at this time.
- B5) The exterior materials will include cement panels, metal windows and curtain walls, and exposed structural elements (wood and steel) at covered outdoor areas. In keeping with the Mediterranean style and its emphasis on simple overall forms, the window openings will be the main feature on facades. The detailing will express the transitions between materials versus relying on trim boards.



DRB Discussion:

B1)

B2)

B3)



B4)

B5)



DRB Findings:



LANDSCAPE STANDARDS

- L1 INTEGRATE THE LANDSCAPE CONCEPT TO COMPLEMENT THE ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPTS
- L2 SUPPORT THE PUBLIC REALM WITH THE LANDSCAPE DESIGN
- L3 INTEGRATE SUSTAINABLE FEATURES INTO THE LANDSCAPE AND MAKE THEM VISIBLE WHEREVER POSSIBLE
- L4 INTEGRATE AND HIGHLIGHT GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PRACTICES
- L5 SUPPORT HEALTHY HABITAT IN THE LANDSCAPE
- L6 PRESERVE AND ENHANCE IMPORTANT VIEWS AND VIEW CORRIDORS

Applicant Response:

- L1) The east facing park will be the foreground to the redesigned facility. Like an old hotel, the building will have terraces overlooking the park, integrating the building with the landscape. Other garden features, including the pergolas, will provide a shared architectural language, blending the building with the land. The site benefits from multiple mature plantings which have been retained in the new plan.
- L2) The landscape plan will provide a network of pathways linking outdoor spaces throughout the site. The most likely public face is to the east where the park meets the existing residential neighborhood. A shared use space with pergola will provide a meeting place encouraging interaction. The open space has historically been visually connected to the street since there is no fence or perimeter screening. We believe this is a quality that is valued and should be maintained.
- L3) The site has several wooded areas which will make a good opportunity to enhance the native landscape, infiltrations and habitat restoration. The site plan will limit lawn areas to those which support the historic open space to the east of the facility. Most of the trees are existing, although the restored areas may see additional tree plantings.



- L4) The existing stormwater allows water to treated and discharged to the Sound. New impervious areas may require on-site infiltration, within a raingarden. No new parking areas are planned. Pervious paving will be used at new paved areas. The site appears to have open sky allowing opportunity for future photovoltaic panels.
- L5) The site plan will preserve the existing mature trees and vegetation. Native plantings within wooded areas will enhance infiltration and habitat. Habitat biodiversity will be promoted through the planting of a variety of plants that are attractive to pollinators or have seeds or berries that are a food source for local birds and mammals.
- L6) As described above, the buildings are composed to capture the views to Puget Sound. Most of the existing landscaping will remain unchanged. No views will be blocked by the new building.



DRB Discussion:

L1)

L2)

L3)



L4)

L5)

L6)



DRB Findings:



STREET TYPES AND FRONTAGES

Street Types: State Poute, Main Street, Neighborhood Main Street, Neighborhood Mixed

Use, Mixed Use Arterial, Rural by Design, Green Street Rural Green Street
Applicant Response:
Not applicable
DRB Discussion:
DRB Findings:



Frontages: Linear / Storefront, Landscape, Plaza, Forecourt, Stoop / Terrace, Vegetated Buffer, Parking

Banor, ranking		
Applicant Response:		
Not applicable		
DRB Discussion:		
DRB Findings:		



SUBDIVISION GUIDELINES

ISLAND CHARACTER PRESERVE AND MAINTAIN ISLAND CHARACTER

Applicant Response:



NEIGHBORHOOD CONTEXT TO REFLECT AND/OR ENHANCE THE CONTEXT PROVIDED BY EXISTING ROADWAY CHARACTER AND NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES

Applicant Response:



NATURAL AREA TO INCORPORATE FORESTED AND/OR OTHER NATURAL AREAS INTO SITE DESIGN IN SUCH A WAY THAT ECOLOGICAL AND AESTHETIC INTERGRITY, QUALITIES, AND VALUES ARE PRESERVED OR RESTORED

Applicant Response:



NATURAL SITE CONDITIONS TO PRESERVE AND INTEGRATE EXISTING NATURAL SITE PATTERNS AND FEATURES THROUGHOUT THE SITE

Applicant	Response:



HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES TO PRESERVE IMPORTANT HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

Applicant Response:



STORMWATER INTEGRATE STORMWATER FACILITIES IN SITE DESIGN WITH EMPHASIS ON INFILTRATION AND DISPERSION PRACTICES

Applicant Response:



SEPTIC SYSTEMS TO MINIMIZE IMPACT OF SEPTIC FACILITIES

Applicant Response:



WATER CONSERVATION TO PROTECT THE ISLAND'S FINITE GROUNDWATER RESOURCES AND ADAPT TO THE IMPACTS OF A CHANGING CLIMATES

Applicant Response:



COMMUNITY SPACE TO PROMOTE A SHARED SENSE OF COMMUNITY

	Applicant	Response:
--	------------------	-----------



CLUSTER HOMESITES TO PROMOTE INTERACTION WITHIN THE COMMUNITY AND FACILITATE THE EFFICIENT USE OF LAND BY REDUCING DISTURBED AREAS, IMPERVIOUS SURFACES, UTILITY EXTENSIONS AND ROADWAYS

Аp	pli	caı	nt	Res	por	ıse:



SOLAR ACCESS TO PROVIDE SOLAR ACCESS FOR WELLBEING AND ENERGY PRODUCTION

The second secon	
A 15	_
Applicant	Kecnonce
Applicalit	ixcopoiisc.



ACCESS AND CIRCULATION TO PROVIDE A PRACTICAL AND PLEASANT NETWORK OF MULTI-MODAL CIRCULATION

pplicant Response:



MOTOR VEHICLES TO MINIMIZE THE PROMINENCE OF MOTOR VEHICLE USE AND STORAGE

<u>Applicant</u>	Response:



HOMESITE DESIGN TO EFFICIENTLY CONFIGURE BUILDING FOOTPRINT(S) AND ALLOWED USES WITHIN A HOMESITE

Applicant Response:



DIVERSITY IN HOUSE DESIGN TO PROVIDE A RANGE OF HOME SIZES AND DESIGNS TO ACHIEVE DIVERSITY IN VISUAL APPEARANCE AND AFFORDABILITY

Applicant	Response:



FACING PUBLIC STREETS TO REINFORCE NEIGHBORLINESS OF HOMES ALONG A PUBLIC STREET

|--|





LARGER SITES

STANDARD1 DESIGN THE SITE BY CLUSTERING BUILDINGS AND ARRANGING

THEM WITH FRONTAGES ON PUBLIC STREETS, PUBLIC SPACES,

OR OPEN SPACE.

STANDARD2 DESIGN SITES TO MINIMIZE THE VISUAL IMPACT OF PARKING ON

THE PUBLIC REALM.

Applicant Response:

Standard 1)

Proposed new building addition faces onto public street and primary site open spaces.

Standard 2)

Parking is existing and is located behind buildings as viewed from public way.



DRB Discussion:

Standard 1)

Standard 2)





HISTORIC PLACES

STANDARD1 DESIGN THE SITE, BUILDING(s), AND LANDSCAPE TO BE

COMPATIBLE WITH HISTORIC BUILDINGS WITHOUT DIRECTLY

MIMICKING HISTORIC ARCHITECTURAL STYLES.

STANDARD2 MAINTAIN THE HISTORIC INTEGRITY OF BUILDINGS OVER 50

YEARS OLD LISTED OR ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL OR LOCAL

REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.

Applicant Response:

Standard 1)

The new building will complement the existing 1917 building by visually separating the new building with a glass link. The facade of the new building will capture the simple formal language of its predecessor, without copying it. Material choices will be complementary (color and texture) but not identical. The new addition will feature a glass enclosed wing to celebrate the common areas and their connection to the landscape and views. The historic open space and 1917 building will be maintained intact. Limited exterior repair will be made to the historic building consistent with its original design.

Standard 2)

Limited exterior repair will be made to the historic building consistent with its original design.



DRB Discussion:

Standard 1)

Standard 2)





CIVIC USES

STANDARD1 DESIGN CIVIC USES AND SITES TO REFLECT AND CONTRIBUTE

TO THEIR FUNCTION AND ROLE IN THE COMMUNITY WHILE

BEING CLEARLY INDENTIFIABLE AS A CIVIC USE.

STANDARD2 DESIGN CIVIC SITES AND BUILDINGS TO SERVE MULTIPLE

FUNCTIONS SUCH AS PULIC SPACE, COMMUNITY GATHERINGS,

PUBLIC ART, AND OTHER COMPATIBLE USES.

Applicant Response:

Standard 1)

Not applicable



Standard 2)

Not applicable



DRB Discussion:

Standard 1)

Standard 2)





This project is recommended for:	
Approval □	
Approval with the following conditions: \square	
Denial with the following deficiencies: \Box	
Denial with the following deficiencies: \square	
SIGNATURE:	DATE:
Chair, Design Review Board	