

## Ann Hillier

---

**From:** Darren J. Hanson <darren.j.hanson@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 12, 2018 12:14 PM  
**To:** Ann Hillier  
**Subject:** Fwd: Hanson Replacement SSDP/PLN50901 SSDP  
**Attachments:** Hanson Dock Proposal 1 Mar 2018.docx; Beierle letter 9 Apr 18.pdf

Annie,  
FYI below regarding a letter you may have already received from Tom Beierle. Thanks for your patience with all this, I know it is testing mine. Please let me know if I can assist with anything.  
Darren

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Darren J. Hanson** <[darren.j.hanson@gmail.com](mailto:darren.j.hanson@gmail.com)>  
Date: Thu, Apr 12, 2018 at 9:54 AM  
Subject: Re: Hanson Replacement SSDP/PLN50901 SSDP  
To: Leann McDonald <[leannm@me.com](mailto:leannm@me.com)>

Thanks Leann. Seems a systemic lack of an objective fact-based arguments and disturbing motives.

1. Survey. This appears to again address navigation, which clearly is not an issue. From multiple actual measurements and as can clearly be seen on overhead views, there is plenty of clearance to both Croker and Corbin buoys, with more deep water in front and around our property and proposed float than anywhere else in Fletcher Bay. Clearance to adjacent docks (Morgan and Croker) is even more.
2. Easement/Joint Use Agreement. I think he is trying to make an argument that the only thing that can be allowed is replacing the dock in it's current exact location which is obviously not an option for many reasons beyond pickleweed. Ironically, what we are proposing meets every intent of the joint use agreement by clearing the dock from our neighbors' tidelands, including to better accommodate a dock for them or future owners should they desire to pursue one.
3. Adjoining Docks. I agree he completely missed the point. Though there are "straight lines" along sections of outer edges of docks to the east and west, the SHORE is also "straight" in those areas. There are many other sections where the shore is not straight, including for example the 90 degree turn in the channel departing the bay to the west; and if you look to the east, Tim Verhaven's dock "juts out" as the bay constricts. In our case, the shore moves out, and so does the other side of the bay even more, which again results in the widest and most navigable part of the bay. Bottom line, yes our proposal projects 80+ft from the shore just like other docks do on average within 500ft, but with more water in front to get around it.

I think you are right in the biggest complaint is aesthetics, and other issues like navigation are being brought up in an attempt to block the project. Sonja and I are very disappointed, seems very unprofessional and selfish. Particularly surprised with Tom. We just want a dock like everyone else and have done everything we can to follow the rules and didn't expect this kind of backlash.

Fortunately Annie seems to get it and is being extremely patient and professional with all this.  
Thank you very much for working with us, please let me know if there is anything I can do to help  
Darren

On Thu, Apr 12, 2018 at 9:04 AM, Leann McDonald <[leannm@me.com](mailto:leannm@me.com)> wrote:

Tom's letter attached. It came in the mail yesterday.

Leann

Leann Ebe McDonald  
Shoreline Solutions LLC  
[leannm@mac.com](mailto:leannm@mac.com)  
206-842-5572 office  
206-300-2678 cell

On Apr 11, 2018, at 8:15 PM, Darren J. Hanson <[darren.j.hanson@gmail.com](mailto:darren.j.hanson@gmail.com)> wrote:

Thanks and please hang in there Leann! Nope haven't seen another Beierle letter please send. Happy to talk when you have time.

Darren

On Wed, Apr 11, 2018, 4:53 PM Leann McDonald <[leannm@me.com](mailto:leannm@me.com)> wrote:

I take it that you received Tom Beierle letter?

### **Survey**

So far, the City has only required me to have a survey done one time for an extension to a dock in Port Madison due to conflicting property line's surveys. The only reason they required a survey was because one neighbor had a lawsuit against the City for issuing a new dock permit that may infringe on their property. Since that lawsuit, the City required survey and neighboring agreements in that area only.

### **Easement**

I can't figure out what he is saying here. That the dock should be joint-use? If so, I asked Harris if he was interested in joint-use, and he said "no".

### **Adjoining Docks**

I think Tom completely missed the mark on this point.

Let's talk - - these neighbors make me want to go buy a bottle of whiskey and guzzle it, and I don't even like whiskey.

Leann

Leann Ebe McDonald  
Shoreline Solutions LLC  
[leannm@mac.com](mailto:leannm@mac.com)  
206-842-5572 office  
206-300-2678 cell

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jo Vanderlee and Rick Robertson** <[Loon3103@hotmail.com](mailto:Loon3103@hotmail.com)>

Date: Tue, Apr 10, 2018 at 12:46 PM

Subject: Hanson Replacement SSDP/PLN50901 SSDP

To: Ann Hillier <[ahillier@bainbridgewa.gov](mailto:ahillier@bainbridgewa.gov)>

Cc: Dave Kircher <[d2kircher2@gmail.com](mailto:d2kircher2@gmail.com)>, "[darren.j.hanson@gmail.com](mailto:darren.j.hanson@gmail.com)" <[darren.j.hanson@gmail.com](mailto:darren.j.hanson@gmail.com)>

Dear Annie,

Attached please find my comments re the Hanson dock proposal of this date, each page of which is a separate attachment. If you have questions, or the attachments fail to appear, please let me know.

Thanks, Rick

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

<Scan\_20180410.jpg><Scan\_20180410\_2.jpg>