

INTRODUCTION

Design for Bainbridge (DforB) provides guidance for applicants to successfully navigate the design review process. The design review process, standards, and guidelines are structured to support good design and a deliberate design process from context and site down to design detailing. Design review is an iterative process intended to help applicants apply relevant standards and guidelines and develop designs for the project that fit Bainbridge Island and the unique context of the site. This iterative process contains three touch points with the Design Review Board (DRB). This worksheet is used to capture design information to be presented to the DRB at each step in the iterative process.

#1 Conceptual Proposal Review Meeting

The conceptual proposal review meeting is an informal meeting between the applicant and the Design Review Board to review site-specific conditions and contextual considerations for the design of development on site. This discussion is intended to inform strategies for site planning and massing that respond sensitively to the neighborhood context.

Applicant Submittal Requirements

See DforB pages 12 & 16

#2 Design Guidance Review Meeting

Design guidance review meetings with the Design Review Board offer guidance to potential applicants during the design process on conceptual alternatives. The purpose of the design guidance review meeting is to review how the proposed alternatives fit the surrounding context with a focus on the development's program, uses, site plan, and massing. The DRB will also consider any requested departures, the rationale for those departures and their consistency with the intent and principles of the guidelines.

Applicant Submittal Requirements

- See DforB page 13
- Initial Design for Bainbridge Worksheet (below)



#3 Final Design Review Meeting

At this meeting, the Board will review the application plans for compliance with Design Standards and Design Guidelines and ensure that the project reflects any revisions recommended by the Board at previous meetings. The Board will document its findings and transmit a written recommendation to the Planning Commission. The Board's recommendation may include conditions to ensure compliance with all standards.

Applicant Submittal Requirements

- See DforB page 15
- Final Design for Bainbridge Worksheet (below)

NOTE: Submittal materials should be transmitted as individual pdfs, not as one large file.



PRO.	PROJECT:					
PRO.	PROJECT ADDRESS or PARCEL:					
DATE						
PRO.	JECT PLANNER:					
Desi	gn Review Board Meeting Dates:					
	ITENT ANALYSIS					
CON	NTEXT ANALYSIS					
C1	ANALYZE NATURAL SYSTEMS					
C2	IDENTIFY THE EXTENT AND VALUE OF WILDLIFE HABITAT AND CORRIDORS					
C3	ASSESS UNIQUE AND PROMINENT FEATURES					
C4	CONSIDER THE DEFINING ATTRIBUTES OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT					
C5	ANALYZE SYSTEMS OF MOVEMENT AND ACCESS					
C6	STUDY HOW THE SITE RELATES TO AND CAN CONTRIBUTE TO THE PUBLIC REALM					
Conte	ext Analysis Complete:					
Yes: □ No: □						
If no, required additional information:						



SITE DESIGN STANDARDS

- **S1** PROTECT AND REPAIR NATURAL SYSTEMS
- **S2** PRESERVE AND ENRICH WILDLIFE HABITAT
- **S3** RESPECT AND MAGNIFY UNIQUE ASPECTS OF SITE AND CONTEXT
- **S4** COMPLEMENT AND CONTRIBUTE TO THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT AND LOCAL IDENTITY
- **S5** FIT THE PROJECT INTO THE SYSTEMS OF ACCESS AND MOVEMENT, PRIORITIZING PEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLES
- **S6** SUPPORT AND CONTRIBUTE TO A VIBRANT PUBLIC REALM

Applicant Response:

S1)



S2)

S3)

S4)



S5)

S6)



DRB Discussion:

S1)

S2)

S3)



S4)

S5)

S6)



DRB Findings:



PUBLIC REALM STANDARDS

- P1 CREATE A SAFE AND COMFORTABLE ENVIRONMENT FOR WALKING AND CYCYLING
- P2 MINIMIZE IMPACT OF VEHICLES ON THE PUBLIC REALM
- P3 DESIGN TO SUPPORT A LEDGIBLE HEIRARCHY OF PUBLIC SPACES
- P4 STRENGHTEN PUBLIC SPACE CONNECTIONS
- P5 DRAW FROM AND ENHANCE EXISTING BLOCK PATTERNS
- P6 FOSTER INTEREST AND ACTIVITY ALONG COMMERCIAL STREETS

Applicant Response:

P1)



P2)

P3)

P4)

Design for Bainbridge



P5)

P6)



DRB Discussion:

P1)

P2)

P3)



P4)

P5)

P6)



DRB Findings:



DESIGN for BAINBRIDGE WORKSHEET

Bainbridge Island, Washington

BUILDING DESIGN STANDARDS

- **B1** EXPRESS A CLEAR ORGANIZING ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPT
- **B2** USE AN ARCHITECTURAL LANGUAGE APPROPRIATE TO BAINBRIDGE ISLAND
- CREATE WELL COMPOSED FACADES AT ALL SCALES **B3**
- **B4** CELEBRATE AND PROMINENTLY FEATURE SUSTAINABLE DESIGN
- USE HIGH QUALITY MATERIALS AND WELL-CRAFTED DETAILS **B5**

Applicant Response:

B1)

B2)



B3)

B4)

B5)



DRB Discussion:

B1)

B2)

B3)



B4)

B5)



DRB Findings:



DESIGN for BAINBRIDGE WORKSHEET

Bainbridge Island, Washington

LANDSCAPE STANDARDS

- L1 INTEGRATE THE LANDSCAPE CONCEPT TO COMPLEMENT THE ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPTS
- L2 SUPPORT THE PUBLIC REALM WITH THE LANDSCAPE DESIGN
- L3 INTEGRATE SUSTAINABLE FEATURES INTO THE LANDSCAPE AND MAKE THEM VISIBLE WHEREVER POSSIBLE
- L4 INTEGRATE AND HIGHLIGHT GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PRACTICES
- L5 SUPPORT HEALTHY HABITAT IN THE LANDSCAPE
- L6 PRESERVE AND ENHANCE IMPORTANT VIEWS AND VIEW CORRIDORS

Applicant Response:

L1)



L2)

L3)

L4)



L5)

L6)



DRB Discussion:

L1)

L2)

L3)



L4)

L5)

L6)



DRB Findings:



DESIGN for BAINBRIDGE WORKSHEET

Bainbridge Island, Washington

STREET TYPES AND FRONTAGES

Street Types : State Route, Main Street, Neighborhood Main Street, Neighborhood Mixed Use, Mixed Use Arterial, Rural by Design, Green Street Rural Green Street			
Applicant Response:			
DRB Discussion:			
DRB Findings:			



Frontages: Linear / Storefront, Landscape, Plaza, Forecourt, Stoop / Terrace, Vegetated

Buffer, Parking	 ,	, ·	-9
Applicant Response:			
DRB Discussion:			
DRB Findings:			



SUBDIVISION GUIDELINES

ISLAND CHARACTER PRESERVE AND MAINTAIN ISLAND CHARACTER

Applicant Response:



NEIGHBORHOOD CONTEXT TO REFLECT AND/OR ENHANCE THE CONTEXT PROVIDED BY EXISTING ROADWAY CHARACTER AND NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES

Applicant Response:		



NATURAL AREA TO INCORPORATE FORESTED AND/OR OTHER NATURAL AREAS INTO SITE DESIGN IN SUCH A WAY THAT ECOLOGICAL AND AESTHETIC INTERGRITY, QUALITIES, AND VALUES ARE PRESERVED OR RESTORED

Applicant Response:		
DRB Discussion:		



NATURAL SITE CONDITIONS TO PRESERVE AND INTEGRATE EXISTING NATURAL SITE PATTERNS AND FEATURES THROUGHOUT THE SITE

Applicant Response:		
DRB Discussion:		



HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES TO PRESERVE IMPORTANT HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

Applicant Response:		



STORMWATER INTEGRATE STORMWATER FACILITIES IN SITE DESIGN WITH EMPHASIS ON INFILTRATION AND DISPERSION PRACTICES

Applicant Response:		



SEPTIC SYSTEMS TO MINIMIZE IMPACT OF SEPTIC FACILITIES

Applicant Response:		



WATER CONSERVATION TO PROTECT THE ISLAND'S FINITE GROUNDWATER

RESOURCES AND ADAPT TO	THE IMPACTS OF A CHANGING CLIMATES
Applicant Response:	



Applicant Response:

DESIGN for BAINBRIDGE WORKSHEET Bainbridge Island, Washington

COMMUNITY SPACE TO PROMOTE A SHARED SENSE OF COMMUNITY

DRB Discussion:	
DIAD DISCUSSION.	



CLUSTER HOMESITES TO PROMOTE INTERACTION WITHIN THE COMMUNITY AND FACILITATE THE EFFICIENT USE OF LAND BY REDUCING DISTURBED AREAS, IMPERVIOUS SURFACES, UTILITY EXTENSIONS AND ROADWAYS

THE ENTITION OF THE PROPERTY O
Applicant Response:
RB Discussion:



SOLAR ACCESS TO PROVIDE SOLAR ACCESS FOR WELLBEING AND ENERGY PRODUCTION

<u>Applicant</u>	Response:
------------------	-----------

DRB Discussion:



ACCESS AND CIRCULATION TO PROVIDE A PRACTICAL AND PLEASANT NETWORK OF MULTI-MODAL CIRCULATION

Applicant Response:		
DRB Discussion:		



MOTOR VEHICLES TO MINIMIZE THE PROMINENCE OF MOTOR VEHICLE USE AND STORAGE

Applicant Response:		
DRB Discussion:		



HOMESITE DESIGN TO EFFICIENTLY CONFIGURE BUILDING FOOTPRINT(S) AND ALLOWED USES WITHIN A HOMESITE

Applicant Response:		

DRB Discussion:



DIVERSITY IN HOUSE DESIGN TO PROVIDE A RANGE OF HOME SIZES AND DESIGNS TO ACHIEVE DIVERSITY IN VISUAL APPEARANCE AND AFFORDABILITY

DESIGNS TO ACHIEVE D	IVERSITY IN VISUA	L APPEARANCE AN	ND AFFORDABILITY
Applicant Response:			

DRB Discussion:



LARGER SITES

STANDARD1 DESIGN THE SITE BY CLUSTERING BUILDINGS AND ARRANGING

THEM WITH FRONTAGES ON PUBLIC STREETS, PUBLIC SPACES,

OR OPEN SPACE.

STANDARD2 DESIGN SITES TO MINIMIZE THE VISUAL IMPACT OF PARKING ON

THE PUBLIC REALM.

Applicant Response:



FACING PUBLIC STREETS TO REINFORCE NEIGHBORLINESS OF HOMES ALONG A PUBLIC STREET

Applicant Response:	
DRB Discussion:	



DRB Findings:



Standard 2)

DRB Discussion:





DRB Findings:



HISTORIC PLACES

STANDARD1 DESIGN THE SITE, BUILDING(s), AND LANDSCAPE TO BE

COMPATIBLE WITH HISTORIC BUILDINGS WITHOUT DIRECTLY

MIMICKING HISTORIC ARCHITECTURAL STYLES.

STANDARD2 MAINTAIN THE HISTORIC INTEGRITY OF BUILDINGS OVER 50

YEARS OLD LISTED OR ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL OR LOCAL

REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.

Applicant Response:



Standard 2)

DRB Discussion:





DRB Findings:



CIVIC USES

STANDARD1 DESIGN CIVIC USES AND SITES TO REFLECT AND CONTRIBUTE

TO THEIR FUNCTION AND ROLE IN THE COMMUNITY WHILE

BEING CLEARLY INDENTIFIABLE AS A CIVIC USE.

STANDARD2 DESIGN CIVIC SITES AND BUILDINGS TO SERVE MULTIPLE

FUNCTIONS SUCH AS PULIC SPACE, COMMUNITY GATHERINGS,

PUBLIC ART, AND OTHER COMPATIBLE USES.

Applicant Response:



Standard 2)

DRB Discussion:





DRB Findings:



This project is recommended for:	
Approval □	
Approval with the following conditions: \square	
Denial with the following deficiencies: \square	
SIGNATURE: DA	ATE:
Chair, Design Review Board	